Children's Bureau Child and Family Services Reviews IV. Program Improvement Plan Matrix (PIP Matrix)

--- Region VI

- Region IX

| State: Missouri | ACF Regiona | l Office: | | |
|--|-------------|------------|----------------|----------|
| State contact and telephone: | Region I | Region IV | _X_ Region VII | Region X |
| ACF Contact and telephone: Ann Burds, 816 426-2260 | Region II | - Region V | — Region VIII | |

--- Region III

Date and quarter submitted:

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|--|-----|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contri | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | Non-Conformity | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| Safety Outcome S1: children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect. | | | | | | | | |
| Item 1: Timeliness of initiating investigations of reports of child | 1: X CD-Outcomes #1 S liness of Baseline 77.9% re ling (11 quarters) in ling Goal 81.8% cd | | S1.1.1 Clarify policy regarding timeliness of initiating reports of child maltreatment. | Quarterly Outcome Report Peer Record Review (PRR) | S1.1.1.a Refined definition of "initiate". S1.1.1.b Policy for use of multi disciplinary team contact clarified and disseminated. | January 2005 March 2005 | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Cont | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| maltreatment | | | | Kathryn Sapp | | S1.1.1.c Face-to-face contact and ensuring safety policy clarified. | March 2005 | |
| | | | | S1.1.2 Increase accuracy of data regarding initial contact. Kathryn Sapp | Quarterly Outcome Report Peer Record Review | S.1.1.2.a. Documentation of "initial contact" for data system entry clarified. S1.1.2.b Additional systems entry codes developed to capture accurate data. | June 2005 December 2005 | |
| | | | | S1.1.3 Re-align caseloads to permit adequate staff to respond to reports of | Quarterly Outcome Report Supervisory | S1.1.3.a Non CA/N referral alternate response process piloted in Jackson, Clay and Platte Counties. | January 2005 | |

| | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemic F and Item(s) Contributin Non-Conformity | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievement Benchmark | |
| A | N/A | | | | | | |
| A | IV/A | | maltreatment. Kathryn Sapp | review | S1.1.3.b. Convened workgroup to analyze potential for private response to non CA/N referrals. | March 2005 | |
| | | | 3 11 | | S1.1.3.c. Circuit level assessment to evaluate response management completed. | January 2005 | |
| | | | | | S1.1.3.d. Local protocol for response management established. | June 2005 | |
| | | | | | S1.1.3.e. Caseloads assigned as per local protocol. | July 2005 | |
| | | | | | S1.1.3.f. Resources targeted to circuits with highest staffing need. | July 2005 | |
| | | | S1.1.4 Call Management and | CRU | S1.1.4.a Completed final revisions to protocols. | January 2005 | |
| | | | Structure Decision Making Protocols | PRR | S1.1.4.b Remaining CRU staff trained on protocols | January 2005 | |
| | | | Implemented at the Central Registry Unit to provide consistent | Supervisory Reviews | S1.1.4.c QA Peer Record Review tool developed. | January 2005 | |
| | | | screening and | | S1.1.4.d QA Supervisory Review tool developed. | January 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|---|---|-----|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | classification of calls received. Charlotte Gooch | | S1.1.4.e. Hotline protocols automated. | June 2005 | |
| Item 2: Repeat maltreatment Recurrence of Maltreatment: Of all children who were victims of a substantiated or indicated maltreatment report in the first six months of the calendar year, what percent were victims of another substantiate or indicated report within a 6 month period. | | X | CA/N Recidivism FED Measure Baseline 7.3% (FFY 2002) Goal 6.4% CA/N in AC FED Measure Baseline 0.62% (FFY 2002) Goal .057% | S1.2.1 Ensure consistent and accurate completion of SDM safety and risk assessment. Kathryn Sapp | Peer Record Review Circuit management reports Supervisory case review | S1.2.1.a SDM component in BASIC Training enhanced. S1.2.1.b Expanded in-service training to CD and court staff on SDM conducted. S1.2.1.c Incorporated into Supervisory Review Tool. | January 2005 December 200 June 2005 | 05 |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|---|------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|----|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contri Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| | | 37/4 | | | | | | |
| Incidence of Child Abuse and/or Neglect in Foster Care: Of all children who were in foster care in the first 9 months of the calendar year, what percent experienced maltreatment from foster parents or facility staff members? | A | N/A | | S1.2.2 Implementation of "Confirming Safe Environments" to reduce the risk for children in foster care. Cindy Wilkinson | Quarterly Outcome Report | S1.2.2.a Test sites Expanded S1.2.2.b Test site expansion evaluated. S1.2.2.c In-house expertise developed for training. S1.2.2.d Modifications made to CSE curriculum. S1.2.2.e Statewide roll out plan developed. S1.2.2.f. Statewide training completed | February 2005 May 2005 February 2005 August 2005 September 200 December 200 | 05 |
| | | | | S1.2.3. Strengthen policy and practice relating to chronic neglect and accumulation of harm. Kathryn Sapp | | S1.2.3.a Statewide analysis of families with multiple reports completed. S1.2.3.b Title IV-E waiver application for chronic neglect pilot developed. S1.2.3.c Analyzed existing St. Louis City initiative to determine applicability and revise statewide policy. S1.2.3.d Referrals began for chronic neglect cases to | April 2005 January 2005 June 2005 August 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|---|---|-----|--|---|---|---|--|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contri | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Children's Trust Fund chronic neglect grantees. S1.2.3.e Developed chronic neglect in-service training curriculum. | October 2005 | |
| Safety Outcome S2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate | | | | | | | | |
| Item 3: Services to family to protect child(ren) in home and prevent removal | | X | Peer Record Review (PRR) IV-15 Baseline 77.8% (8 quarters) Goal 77.8% | S2.3.1 Improve family assessment and case plan tools to better link service provision to the needs of the family identified in the initial and ongoing assessments; include a | Supervisory review Peer Record Review PDR | S2.3.1.a CD-14 family assessment tool field tested S2.3.1.b Input from field test evaluated and analyzed S2.3.1.c Reconvened work group, if indicated to make recommendations regarding changes | January 2005 January 2005 January 2005 | |
| | | | | mechanism for family self-assessment and identified areas specifically related to | FCS Case Record review and Consultation | S2.3.1.d Assessment of case planning revised as needed S2.3.1.e Policy issued with new documents and instructions. | March 2005 June 2005 | |

| | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|--|-----|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemic and Item(s) Contribut Non-Conformity | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | child safety and risk concerns (to be completed concurrently with \$2.3.2) | | S2.3.1.f Integrated into SACWIS development. | December 200 | 6 |
| | | | Kathryn Sapp S2.3.2 Strengthen worker/supervisor skills in engaging families in the assessment, case planning and case plan review process to increase parent, caregiver (alternative care provider) and child involvement in case assessment, plan development and reassessment. Kathryn Sapp | Supervisory reviews Peer Record Review PDR FCS Case Record review and consultation Family Satisfaction Survey | S2.3.2.a Conducted focus groups (workers, supervisors, circuit managers) in three circuits to identify clinical support needs S2.3.2.b Conducted an abbreviated PDR for baseline information S2.3.2.c Analyzed information gathered into a training curriculum or discussion guides (for workers use in the discussion of case plan activities) with identified needs addressed. S2.3.d Training curriculum developed and incorporated into BASIC and on-going training to include service planning, basic writing skills and concurrent planning. S2.3.2.e Training provided and feedback solicited from trainees | January 2005 June 2005 January 2005 March 2005 June 2006 | |
| | | | | | on training | September | |

| | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|-------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemic and Item(s) Contribut Non-Conformity | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | 11/11 | | | | S2.3.2.f Curriculum updates determined and expansion plans developed | 2006 December 2006 | |
| | | | S2.3.3 Improve supervisory capacity to monitor enhanced | Supervisors Case Reviews | S2.3.3.a. CD will adopt a universal Supervisor Case Review Tool | January 2005 | |
| | | | practice relating to case planning. | Peer Record Reviews | S2.3.3.b. Instructions for supervisor's case review written | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | S2.3.3.c. Developed supervisory alert system. | June 2005 | |
| | | | S2.3.4 Establish procedures to access various service funding | Supervisor Case Reviews | S2.3.4.a Developed and distributed service access funding grid. | June 2005 | |
| | | | streams. Bonnie Washeck | Monitor funding stream expenditures and utilization | S2.3.4.b Incorporated into supervisory review tool. | June 2005 | |
| | | | S2.3.6. Per new legislation, develop state comprehensive children's mental health plan to increase level of cooperation | Comprehensive system management team Stakeholder | S2.3.6.a Barriers identified for children needing mental health services S2.3.6.b Funding mechanisms established for providing mental health services. | January 2005 January 2005 | |
| | | | between court, mental health, child welfare and families. | advisory committee | S2.3.6.c Coordination of resources from multiple agencies S2.3.6.d. Evaluation methodology | January 2005 | |
| | | | Jim Harrison | | established. | January 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|---|---|-----|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| Item 4: Risk of | | X | PRR IV-13 | S2.4.1 Ensure | | S2.4.1.a See S1.2.1.a | | |
| harm to | | | Baseline 87.1% | consistent and accurate | Peer Record | S2.4.1.b See S1.2.1.b | - | |
| child(ren) | | | (8 quarters) | completion of SDM | Review | S2.4.1.c See S1.2.1.c | | |
| | | | Goal 87.1% | safety and risk assessment. | Supervisory case review | 52.4.1.c Sec 51.2.1.c | | |
| | | | | S2.4.2 Implementation | | S2.4.2.a See to S1.2.2.a | | |
| | | | | of "Confirming Safe | | S2.4.2.b See to S1.2.2.b | | |
| | | | | Environments" to | | S2.4.2.c See to S1.2.2.c. | | |
| | | | | reduce the risk for | | S2.4.2.d Seeto S1.2.2.d | | |
| | | | | children in | | S2.4.2.e Refer to S1.2.2.e | | |
| | | | | foster/kinship care. | | S2.4.2.f. Refer to S1.2.2.f | | |
| | | | | S2.4.3 Implement enhanced background screening for foster/kinship/respite and court ordered providers. | Peer Record Review Supervisory case record review | S2.4.3.a Policy for enhanced background screening implemented statewide. | February 2005 | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | | | |
| | | | | S2.4.4 Development of | System data | S2.4.4.a Work committees | January 2005 | |
| | | | | "Culture of Care | analysis | formed with volunteers from | | |
| | | | | Initiative" for | Comment of | residential sites to develop | | |
| | | | | improving safety and nurturance of children | Survey to residential care | curriculum. S2.4.4.b Curriculum Drafted | March 2005 | |
| 1 | | 1 | 1 | nurturance of children | residential care | 52.4.4.0 Curriculum Draited | IVIAICII 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improve | ment Implementation | on | | |
|---|---|-----|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------|----------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | in a residential care setting. | providers | S2.4.4.c Curriculum approved by CD administration S2.4.4.d Roundtables held with | May 2005 | |
| | | | | Fred Proebsting | | CEO's to discuss curriculum S2.4.4.e Train-the-Trainers | June 2005 | |
| | | | | | | session held/2 days in KC and St.Louis | July 2005 | |
| Permanency Outcome: 1 Children have permanency and | | | | | | | | |
| stability in their living situations Item 5:Foster | X | | | | | | | |
| Care Re-Entries Foster Care Re- entries: Of all children who entered foster care in FY 2001, | A | | | | | | | 4 |
| what percent experienced maltreatment from foster parents or facility staff members? | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|---|---|----------|---|---|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contri | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| Item 6: Stability of foster care placement Stability of Foster Care Placement: Of all children in foster care for less than 12 months, what percent experienced no | A | N/A X | FED Measure Baseline 78.7% (FFY 2002) Goal 80.6% | P1.6.1 Increase system capacity to accurately track placement kinship vendor types. Lesley Pettit | Outcome reports | P1.6.1.a Logic created to track additional placement types 1) Kin, Related, Not licensed 2) Kin, Non-related, Not licensed 3) Kin, Non-related, Licensed P1.6.1.b Coding changes completed. P1.6.1.c Policy updated and distributed. | January 2005 March 2005 May 2005 | |
| more than 2 placement settings? | | | | P1.6.2 Improve family assessment and case plan tools to better link service provision to the needs of the family P1.6.3 Improve diligent search for relatives/missing parents. Bonnie Washeck | PDR | P1.6.2.a See S2.3.1.a P1.6.2.b See S2.3.1.b P1.6.2.c See S2.3.1.c P1.6.2.d See S2.3.1.d P1.6.2.e See S2.3.1.e P1.6.2.e See S2.3.1.f P1.6.3.a Accessed existing diligent search mechanism through Family Support Division and OSCA. P1.6.3.b Established agreements, as necessary, with other state agencies to access state databases | March 2005 December 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|-------------------|---------|--------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste | mic Fa | actors | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | f |
| and Item(s) Contr | ibuting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | as mechanisms of diligent search. | | |
| | | | | | | | March 2006 | |
| | | | | | | P1.6.3.c Diligent search | | |
| | | | | | | procedure drafted and distributed. | | |
| | | | | P1.6.4 Expand use of | | P1.6.4.a Expanded FST policy to | March 2006 | |
| | | | | family support team | | include high risk intact families. | March 2000 | |
| | | | | meetings to promote | | P1.6.4.b Implemented provisions | | |
| | | | | stability | | of HB1453 related to requirement | January 2005 | |
| | | | | stability | | of FST prior to or immediately | Junuary 2003 | |
| | | | | Kathryn Sapp | | after an impending move. | | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | P1.6.4.c Updated PRR to assure | December | |
| | | | | • | | pre-placement FST's | 2005 | |
| | | | | | | P1.6.4.d Incorporated FST policy | June 2005 | |
| | | | | | | into Supervisory review tool | | |
| | | | | P1.6.5 Increase number | | P1.6.5.a Developed and | June 2005 | |
| | | | | of resource families | | implemented statewide | | |
| | | | | | | recruitment campaign for respite | | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | providers. | _ | |
| | | | | | | P1.6.5.b Implemented | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | | performance based resource | | |
| | | | | | | development contracts. | | |
| | | | | | | P1.6.5.c Collaboratively recruited | June 2005 | |
| | | | | | | foster parents with DMH and | | |
| | | | | | | DYS. | January 2005 | |
| | | | | | | P1.6.5.d Recruitment and | January 2005 | |
| | | | | | | retention plan implemented | | |
| | | | | | | through Chaffee program. | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|---|---|-----|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | _ |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | P1.6.5.e Implemented Adopt US Kids campaign. P1.6.5.f Awarded statewide recruitment and resource development contracts | January 2005 July 2005 | |
| | | | | P1.6.6 Improve matching capabilities of children in out-of-home care setting to increase placement stability. | Outcome Report Measure #13 and #13a | P1.6.6.a Consulted with the National Resource Center for Foster Care and Permanency Planning regarding "critical" factors in placement success/failure. | January 2005 | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | P1.6.6.b Tool designed to capture "critical" factors in placement success/failure. P1.6.6.c Tool incorporated and used in team decision making. | March 2005 June 2005 | |
| Item 7: Permanency goal for child | | | PRR V-12 Baseline 84.8% (8 quarters) Goal 84.8% | P1.7.1 Strengthen policy and practice relating to concurrent planning Cindy Wilkinson | PRR Quarterly Outcomes Report | P1.7.1.a Integrated concurrent planning training into basic and on-going training modules and child welfare manual P1.7.1.b Utilized PET teams to shape practice and monitor outcome | June 2005 December 2006 | |
| | | | | P1.7.2 Increase accountability of Family Support Team Meetings held to assure the review of permanency goal by a | Quarterly Outcome Report PRR | P1.7.2.a Analyzed FST frequency data through circuit self assessment P1.7.2.b Initiated corrective action as needed | January 2005 June 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementation | on | | |
|---|---|-----|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem Benchmark | _ |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | multi disciplinary team Becky Porter P1.7.3 Increase collaboration with courts by providing | Survey to court and CD staff | P1.7.3.a CD partnered with OSCA to develop training curriculum. | March 2005 | |
| | | | | cross training to new judiciary, court staff, GAL's and Children's Division staff on ASFA | | P1.7.3.b Developed draft plan to address logistics of proposed training P1.7.3.c Submitted draft to | July 2005 | _ |
| | | | | & Permanency hearings consistent with state and federal regulations. | | management of CD/OSCA | August 2005 September | |
| | | | | Jeff Adams | | P1.7.3.d. Management approved draft P1.7.3.e Training implemented by CD/OSCA. | 2005 September 2006 | |
| Item 8: Reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relatives. Length of time to achieve Permanency Goal of Reunification: Of all children | | X | FED Measure Baseline 65.9% (FFY 2002) Goal 68.3% | P1.8.1 Increase system capacity to accurately track placement kinship vendor types. | | P1.8.1.a See P1.6.1.a P1.8.1.b See P1.6.1.b P1.8.1.c See P1.6.1.c | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | ent Implementatio | n | | |
|--------------------|---------|------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates o | |
| and Item(s) Contri | ibuting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| who entered | | | | | | | | |
| foster care, what | | | | | | | | |
| percent were re- | | | | | | | | |
| entering care | | | | | | | | |
| within 12 | | | | | | | | |
| months of entry | | | | | | | | |
| into foster care | | | | | | | | |
| in the Federal | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Year? | | | | D1 0 2 7 . 111 1 | | 71.02 0 0001 | | |
| | | | | P1.8.2 Establish | | P1.8.2.a See S2.3.4.a | 1 | |
| | | | | procedures to access | | P1.8.2.b See S2.3.4.b | | |
| | | | | various service funding streams. | | | | |
| | | | | P1.8.3 Increase | | P.1.8.3.a See P1.7.2.a | | |
| | | | | accountability of | | F.1.6.3.a See F1.7.2.a | | |
| | | | | Family Support Team | | P1.8.3.b See P1.7.2.b | - | |
| | | | | Meetings held to assure | | 11.8.3.0 See 11.7.2.0 | | |
| | | | | the review of | | | | |
| | | | | permanency goal by a | | | | |
| | | | | multi disciplinary team | | | | |
| | | | | P1.8.4 Increase | | P1.8.4.a See P1.7.3.a | | |
| | | | | collaboration with | | P1.8.4.b See P1.7.3.b | 1 | |
| | | | | courts by providing | | P1.8.4.c See P1.7.3.c | 1 | |
| | | | | cross training to new | | P1.8.4.d See P1.7.3.d | 1 | |
| | | | | judiciary, court staff, | | | | |
| | | | | GAL's and Children's | | P1.8.4.e See P1.7.3.e | | |
| | | | | Division staff on ASFA | | | | |
| | | | | & Permanency hearings | | | | |
| | | | | consistent with state | | | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|-------------------------|--------|------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates o | |
| and Item(s) Contri | ibutin | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | and federal regulations. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | P1.8.5 Collaborate with | OSCA accepts | P1.8.5.a Proposal drafted | March 2005 | |
| | | | | OSCA to explore | proposal | P1.8.5.b Written proposal | | |
| | | | | legislation so that | | reviewed by OSCA and DSS | March 2005 | |
| | | | | Juvenile Courts can | | P1.8.5.c Proposal Finalized | | |
| | | | | enter temporary | | | March 2005 | |
| | | | | custody orders and | | | | |
| | | | | transfer jurisdiction to | | | | |
| | | | | Probate & Circuit | | | | |
| | | | | Courts. | | | | |
| | | | | Y' YY ' | | | | |
| Ti O | | 37 | EED M | Jim Harrison | DDD | Dioi Diii | 1. 1. 2007 | |
| Item 9: | | X | FED Measure Baseline 30.3% | P1.9.1 Termination of | PRR | P1.9.1.a. Reliability of data in | March 2005 | |
| Adoption Length of Time | | | | Parental Rights will be filed in a timely | Supervisory case | current information system evaluated; corrective action taken | | |
| to Achieve | | | (FFY 2002) Goal 32.0% | manner, except when | review | P1.9.1.b. Management reports | September | |
| Permanency | | | G0ai 32.0% | compelling reasons are | Teview | shared with juvenile court | 2005 | |
| Goal of | | | | documented. | | P1.9.1.c. Developed supervisory | 2003 | |
| Adoption: Of | | | | | | review of procedure/protocol for | June 2005 | |
| all children who | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | TPR or documentation of | | |
| were adopted | | | | | | compelling reasons | | |
| from foster care | | | | | | P1.9.1.d Initiated local circuit | 1 | |
| in the Federal | | | | | | meetings with Judicial Courts to | December | |
| Fiscal Year, | | | | | | address local procedures for filing | 2005 | |
| what percent | | | | | | of TPR petitions | | |
| were adopted | | | | | | P1.9.1.e. Local Procedures | 1 | |
| within 24 | | | | | | provided to all local staff in | March 2006 | |
| months of their | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Program Improver | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|-------------------|---------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste | emic F | actors | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | f |
| and Item(s) Contr | ributin | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| entry into care? | | | | | | written form | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | P1.9.2 Increase | | P1.9.2.a See P1.6.5.a | | |
| | | | | number of resource | | P1.9.2.b See P1.6.5.b | - | |
| | | | | families | | P1.9.2.c See P1.6.5.c | † | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.2.d See P1.6.5.d | 1 | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.2.e See P1.6.5.e | † | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.2.e See P1.6.5.f | 1 | |
| | | | | P1.9.3 Increase | Quarterly | P1.9.3.a Awarded performance | January 2005 | |
| | | | | capacity to conduct | Outcome Reports | based permanency and resource | | |
| | | | | home studies and | • | development contracts | | |
| | | | | finalize adoptions | | P1.9.3.b Committed additional | July 2005 | |
| | | | | | | staff as needed and per available | | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | resources. | | |
| | | | | P1.9.4 Improve access | DLS to report to | P1.9.4.a CD/DLS contacted law | January 2005 | |
| | | | | to legal representation | CD Director | schools in St. Louis to assess | | |
| | | | | for CD. | progress | interest in expanding the existing | | |
| | | | | Circles Willein and | M | cooperative program. | Manala 2005 | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | Monitor filing of | P1.9.4.b DLS & Law Schools | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | CD hearings | identified funding sources for expansion of law school | | |
| | | | | | | cooperative program. | | |
| | | | | | | | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.4.c DLS & Law schools | With 2003 | |
| | | | | | | requested funding program. | | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.4.d DLS requested | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | | additional FTE's or contracted | | |
| | | | | | | attorneys | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--------------------|---------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste | mic Fa | actors | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | f |
| and Item(s) Contri | ibuting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.4.e DLS submitted proposal | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | | to legislature. | | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.4.f CD/DLS established a | January 2005 | |
| | | | | | | work group to develop a protocol | - | |
| | | | | | | for CD staff to access DLS | | |
| | | | | | | attorneys or contractors | | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.4.g Draft Protocol developed | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.4.h Protocols adopted. | June 2005 | |
| | | | | | | P1.9.4.i CD legal representation | December | |
| | | | | | | at court hearings monitored for | 2006 | |
| | | | | | | improvement. | | |
| Item 10: Other | | X | PRR V-22 | P1.10.1 Increase | | P1.10.1.a See P1.6.5.a | | |
| planned living | | | Baseline 66.3% | number and quality of | | P1.10.1.b See P1.6.5.b | | |
| arrangement | | | (8 quarters) | resource families for | | P1.10.1.c See P1.6.5.c | | |
| | | | Goal 73.0% | older youth | | P1.10.1.d See P1.6.5.d | | |
| | | | | | | P1.10.1.e See P1.6.5.e | | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | P1.10.1.e See P1.6.5.f | | |
| | | | | | Quarterly | P1.10.1.g Developed in-service | June 2006 | |
| | | | | | Outcome Report | training for existing foster parents | | |
| | | | | | | on working with youth on ILP | | |
| | | | | | | skills. | | |
| Permanency | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome P2: | | | | | | | | |
| The continuity | | | | | | | | |
| of family | | | | | | | | |
| relationships and | | | | | | | | |
| connections is | | | | | | | | |
| preserved for | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Program Improver | nent Implementation | on | | |
|---|---|-----|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem Benchmark | - |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| children. | | | | | | | | |
| Item 11: Proximity of foster care placement | X | | | | | | | |
| Item 12: Placement with siblings | | X | PRR V-4 Baseline 86.3% (8 quarters) Goal 90.6% | P2.12.1 Increase the number of siblings placed together Cindy Wilkinson P2.12.2 Increase capacity for resource families that accept sibling groups. | PRR | P2.12.1.a Developed policy for FST to be required prior to separating siblings P2.12.1.b Developed an administrative level review 30 days after siblings are separated P2.12.2.a See P1.6.5.a P2.12.2.b See P1.6.5.b P2.12.2.c See P1.6.5.c P2.12.2.d See P1.6.5.d P2.12.2.e See P1.6.5.e P2.12.2.e See P1.6.5.f | July 2005 September 2005 | |
| | | | | P2.12.3 Develop an ongoing training module regarding sibling placements for staff, foster parents, juvenile officers, GALs, and judges. Jeff Adams | | P2.12.3.a Developed a curriculum which emphasizes the importance of sibling bonds, long term effects of separation, importance of visitation, criteria to be used to separate, parentified child and sibling rivalries. P2.12.3.b Training piloted in two sites P2.12.3.c Feedback evaluated | September 2005 March 2006 June 2006 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|---|-----|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contri Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | from training groups P2.12.3.d Curriculum revised and training continued statewide | July 2006 | |
| Item 13: Visiting with parents and siblings in foster care | | X | Parents PRR V-13 Baseline 83.0% (8 quarters) Goal 87.2% Siblings PRR V-4 Baseline 86.3% (8 quarters) Goal 90.6% | P2.13.1 Increase frequency and quality of parent/child and sibling visits Cindy Wilkinson | PDR PRR Supervisor Review Tool | P2.13.1.a Revised policy to improve qualitative and quantitative visitation plan requirements P2.13.1.b Incorporated revisions into supervisory review tool. P2.13.1.c Incorporated policy revision into BASIC and ongoing training. P2.13.1.d Utilized PET teams to support practice improvement related to parent and sibling visits. P2.13.1.e Developed protocol and training for community partners and volunteers | June 2005 June 2005 September 2005 December 2006 June 2006 | |
| Item 14: Preserving connections | | X | Rel Placement CD-Outcomes #1 Baseline 23.7% (11 quarters) Goal 26.0% Rel. Considered PRR V-1 Baseline 82.6% | P2.14.1 Increase emphasis on preserving connection for children in out of home care. Cindy Wilkinson | PDR | P2.14.1.a Evaluated Family-to-Family program regarding applicability for statewide implementation P2.14.1.b Submitted recommendations to department P2.14.1.c Developed in-service training module on preserving connection for children | March 2005 May 2005 December 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementation | on | | |
|---|---------|------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syst and Item(s) Cont Non-Conformity | ributin | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| | I 4 | N/A | | | | | | |
| | A | IN/A | (8 quarters) Goal 86.8% | | | | | |
| | | | Parent Visits PRR V-13 Baseline 83.0% (8 quarters) Goal 87.2% | P2.14.2 Improve diligent search for relatives/missing parents | | P2.14.2.a See P1.6.3 a P2.14.2.b See P1.6.3.b P2.14.2.c See P1.6.3.c | | |
| | | | Siblings PRR V-4 Baseline 86.3% (8 quarters) Goal 90.6% | P2.14.3 Revise ICWA policy Cindy Wilkinson | | P2.14.3.a Revised ICWA policy to reflect best practice standards. | June 2005 | |
| Item 15: Relative placement | | X | Rel Placement CD-Outcomes #1 Baseline 23.7% (11 quarters) Goal 26.0% | P2.15.1 Increase system capacity to accurately track placement kinship vendor types. | | P2.15.1.a See P1.6.1.a P2.15.1.b See P1.6.1.b P2.15.1.c See P1.6.1.c | _ | |
| | | | Rel. Considered PRR V-1 Baseline 82.6% (8 quarters) Goal 86.8% | P2.15.2 Improve diligent search for relatives/missing parents | | P2.15.2.a See P1.6.3 a P2.15.2.b See P1.6.3.b P2.15.2.c See P1.6.3.c | | |
| | | | | P2.15.3 Evaluated support and training provided for relative/kinship resource families | | P2.15.3.a Surveyed current relative caregivers on the adequacy of the Caregiver who Knows the Child training curriculum and needed supports | January 2006 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|-------------------|---------|------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates o | |
| and Item(s) Contr | ibuting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | P2.15.3.b Data Collected and | March 2006 | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | analyzed | | |
| | | | | | | P2.15.3.c Necessary changes | May 2006 | |
| | | | | | | identified and recommendations | | |
| | | | | | | developed | | |
| | | | | | | P2.15.d Curriculum modified | September | |
| | | | | | | P2.15.e Support services needs | 2006 | |
| | | | | | | prioritized and addressed within | March 2006 | |
| | | | | | | resource availability | | |
| Item 16: | | X | Parent Visits | P2.16.1 Improve | | P2.16.1.a See P1.6.3 a | | |
| Relationship of | | | PRR V-13 | diligent search for non- | | P2.16.1.b See P1.6.3 b | | |
| child in care | | | Baseline 83.0% | custodial parent | | P2.16.1.c See P1.6.3 c | | |
| with parents | | | (8 quarters) Goal 87.2% | | | | | |
| | | | G0ai 87.2% | P2.16.2 Improve | | P16.16.2.a See S2.3.2.a | | |
| | | | | engagement of non- | | P16.16.2.b See S2.3.2.b | 1 | |
| | | | | custodial parents in | | P16.16.2.c See S2.3.2.c | _ | |
| | | | | case planning by | | P16.16.2.d See S2.3.2.d | | |
| | | | | strengthening | | P16.16.2.e See S2.3.2.e | | |
| | | | | worker/supervisor skills | | P16.16.2.f See S2.3.2.f | | |
| | | | | in engaging families in | | 1 10.10.2.1 See 52.5.2.1 | | |
| | | | | the assessment, case | | | | |
| | | | | planning and case plan | | | | |
| | | | | review process to | | | | |
| | | | | increase parent, | | | | |
| | | | | caregiver (alternative | | | | |
| | | | | care provider) and child | | | | |
| | | | | involvement in case | | | | |
| | | | | assessment, plan | | | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | ent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|---|-----|---|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | development and reassessment. | | | | |
| Well Being Outcome 1 Families have enhanced capacity to provide for children's needs Item 17: Needs and services of child, parents, foster parents | | X | PRR III-10 Baseline 79.6% (8 quarters) Goal 79.6% PRR III-3 Baseline 89.3% (8 quarters) Goal 89.3% | WB1.17.1 Improve family assessment and case plan tools to better link service provision to the needs of the family identified in the initial and ongoing assessments Kathryn Sapp | | WB1.17.1.a See S2.3.1.a WB1.17.1.b See S2.3.1.b WB1.17.1.c See S2.3.1.c WB1.17.1.d See S2.3.1.d WB1.17.1.e See S2.3.1.e WB1.17.1.f See S2.3.1.f | | |
| | | | PRR IV-15 Baseline 77.8% (8 quarters) Goal 77.8% | WB1.17.2 Strengthen workers skills in engaging families in the assessment, case planning and case plan review processes to increase parent, caregiver (alternative care provider) and child | | WB1.17.2.a See S2.3.2.a WB1.17.2.b See S2.3.2.b WB1.17.2.c See S2.3.2.c WB1.17.2.d See S2.3.2.d WB1.17.2.e See S2.3.2.e WB1.17.2.e See S2.3.2.e | | |

| | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|-----|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|------|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemic and Item(s) Contribution | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| A | N/A | | involvement in case assessment, plan development and reassessment. Kathryn Sapp WB1.17.3 Implementation of "Confirming Safe Environments" to reduce the risk for children in foster/kinship care. | | WB1.17.3.a See S1.2.2.a WB1.17.3.b See S1.2.2.b WB1.17.3.c See S1.2.2.c WB1.17.3.d See S1.2.2.d WB1.17.3.e See S1.2.2.e WB1.17.3.f See S1.2.2.f | | |
| | | | WB.1.17.4 Establish a protocol for prompt response to foster parent requested needs and/or identified concerns. Cindy Wilkinson | Supervisory Review Foster Parent Survey | WB1.17.4.a Workgroup established to develop protocol (include font-line staff, supervisors, licensing staff and alternative care providers.) WB1.17.4.b Tools developed WB1.17.4.c Protocol developed WB1.17.4.d. Memo distributed to Policy Review Team for feedback WB1.17.4.e. Field tested and revised as necessary WB1.17.4.f Final memo distributed. | July 2005 July 2005 July 2005 September 2005 October 2005 January 2006 | |

| | | Program Improver | nent Implementation | on | | |
|--|-----------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemic Factor and Item(s) Contributing to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | _ |
| Non-Conformity | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| A N | J/A | | | | | |
| | | WB1.17.5 Improve | | WB1.17.5.a See P1.6.3.a | 1 | |
| | | diligent search for | | WB1.17.5.b See P1.6.3.b | | |
| | | parents/relatives | | WB1.17.5.c See P1.6.3.c | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | WB1.17.6 Review and further develop a | Monitor usage through PDR | WB1.17.6.a Existing parent handbooks used by various | June 2005 | |
| | | handbook, to be distributed at the point of removal, to educate parents on their rights and responsibilities, | Client Satisfaction Surveys | circuits collected. WB1.17.6.b Convened a work group to evaluate usefulness of already existing parent handbooks. | August 2005 | |
| | | court procedures, etc. (The handbook may include the family self | Inventory Reports | WB1.17.6.c Developed a parent handbook to be used statewide. WB1.17.6.d Field tested and | November 2005 | |
| | | assessment.) | | solicited consumer feedback- revise as necessary. | February 2006 | |
| | | Cindy Wilkinson | | WB1.17.6.e Developed draft policy. | May 2006 | |
| | | | | WB1.17.6.f Policy distributed. | July 2006 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|--|---|----------|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Control | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | nent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| Item 18: Child and family involvement in case planning | A | N/A X | PRR IV-8 Baseline 71.4% (8 quarters) Goal 74.9% PRR V-8 Baseline 87.6% (8 quarters) Goal 87.6% | WB1.18.1 Maximize parental/family participation in Family Support Team Meetings. | | WB1.18.1.a See P1.6.3.a WB1.18.1.b See P1.6.3.b WB1.18.1.cSee P1.6.3.c WB1.18.1.d See S2.3.2 a WB1.18.1.e See S2.3.2 b WB1.18.1.f See S2.3.2 c WB1.18.1.g See S2.3.2 d WB1.18.1.h See S2.3.2 d WB1.18.1.h See S2.3.2 e WB1.18.1.i See S2.3.2 f | June 2005 | |
| | | | | WB1.18.2 Increase quality of FST's. Jeff Adams | Family Satisfaction Survey | accommodate family needs. WB1.18.2.a Facilitation skills training modified. WB1.18.2.b Advanced facilitator skills module developed. WB1.18.2.c Advanced facilitator skills module delivered to selected staff. | June 2005 September 2005 March 2006 | |
| Item 19: Worker visits with child – improve quantity and quality | | X | PRR V-16 Baseline 70.1% (8 quarters) Goal 73.6% | WB1.19.1 Develop statewide tracking system to track worker visits (date/site) Cindy Wilkinson Doris Hallford | Review quarterly to assess progress toward development of a statewide tracking system Supervisory review tool | WB1.19.1.a Developed proposal to present MIS/SACWIS management regarding the need for the electronic accountability of worker visits. WB1.19.1.b Met with MIS/SACWIS management to develop logic and supervisory reporting format for tracking | March 2006 September 2006 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|---|--------------------|---|-----------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System | nic Fa | actors | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | f |
| and Item(s) Contri | buting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | visits. | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | WB1.19.1.c. Simultaneously | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | conducted supervisory focus | September | |
| | | | | | | group to determine reporting | 2006 | |
| | | | | | | format the system will generate. | | |
| | | | | | | WB1.19.1.d Incorporated into | 1 | |
| | | | | | | Supervisory Review Tool. | June 2005 | |
| | | | | WB1.19.2 Increase | Peer Record | WB1.19.2.a Clarified who is | June 2005 | |
| | | | | frequency of worker | Review | responsible for visits and the | | |
| | | | | visits for both intact | | documentation necessary for | | |
| | | | | families and out-of- | PDR | visits which did not occur per | | |
| | | | | home cases. | | policy. | | |
| | | | | | | WB1.19.2.b Revised performance | December | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | appraisal to reflect expectations. | 2006 | |
| | | | | Kathryn Sapp | | WB1.19.2.c Achieved progress in | | |
| | | | | | | caseload equalization by | Dec. | |
| | | | | | | developing statewide caseload | 2005/Dec. | |
| | | | | | | tracking method with monthly | 2006 | |
| | | | | | | report to management and | | |
| | | | | WD1 10.2 Develor | Peer Record | administration. (See S1.1.3.f) | June 2005 | |
| | | | | WB1.19.3. Develop | Review | WB1.19.3.a Draft protocol | June 2005 | |
| | | | | protocol for quality of visits to incorporate | Keview | developed regarding frequency and quality of visits | | |
| | | | | case planning, service | Supervisory | WB1.19.3.b Draft protocol | September | |
| | | | | delivery and goal | Review Tool | reviewed by Policy Review | 2005 | |
| | | | | attainment | ICVICW 1001 | Team, and management, revision | 2003 | |
| | | | | actamment | 1 | 1 cam, and management, revision | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementation | o n | | |
|--|---|-----|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem Benchmark | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson Kathryn Sapp | PDR Consumer Satisfaction Survey | made WB1.19.3.c Policy distributed. WB1.19.3.d Incorporated visit protocol training into BASIC Training. WB1.19.3.e Utilize Practice Enhancement Teams (PET) to support protocols. WB1.19.3.f See S1.2.2 a-f | December 2005 March 2006 December 2006 December 2006 | |
| | | | | WB1.19.4 Strengthen foster parent (ACP) and worker relationships. Jeff Adams | Foster Parent Survey | WB1.19.4.a Foster parent training and orientation includes division protocols established in WB1.19.3.a. WB1.19.4.b Workers participation in STARS Training assured. | 2006 March 2006 January 2005 | |
| Item 20: Worker Visit with parent(s) | | | PRR V-14 Baseline 84.0% (8 quarters) Goal 88.2% | WB1.20.1 See WB1.19.1, WB1.19.2 and WB1.19.3 | | WB.20.1 See WB1.19.1a-d WB.20.1 See WB1.19.2 a-c WB.20.1 See WB1.19.3 a-b | | |
| Well Being Outcome 2 Children receive services to meet their educational | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementation | on | | |
|---|---|---------|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| needs | | 1 1/1 1 | | | | | | |
| Item 21: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs | X | | Measure to be developed | WB2.21.1 Improve working relationship among Children's Division, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) and local school districts. | PDR | WB.2.21.1.a Protocol developed regarding inclusion of educational personnel in FST's. WB2.21.1.b Designated an education liaison at the state or regional levels. WB2.21.1.c Local level barriers identified to working with schools | January 2005 March 2005 January 2005 | |
| | | | | Fred Simmens | | and developed a plan to alleviate them. WB2.21.1.Children's Division Administration partnered with DESE to address identified barriers at State level. | June 2005 | |
| | | | | WB2.21.2 Improve the flow of educational records and reports when children transfer schools. Kathryn Sapp Cindy Wilkinson | PDR | WB2.21.2.a Protocol developed for Legal Status 3 children regarding permission of custodian to access records needed for enrollment. WB2.21.2.b. Incorporated accountability measures for transfer of educational records into Residential Facility contracts. | June 2005 June 2005 | |
| | | | | WB2.21.3 Decrease the incidence of educational neglect, | PDR | WB2.21.3.a Accessed National Resource Center on Child Maltreatment and other national | April 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|---------------------------|--------|------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | |
| and Item(s) Contri | buting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | truancy and suspension | | education resources. | | |
| | | | | of children in care and | | WB2.21.3.b Identification of risk | June 2005 | |
| | | | | those in intact families. | | factors for educational neglect, | | |
| | | | | T. 1 0 | | truancy or school suspension | | |
| | | | | Kathryn Sapp | | incorporated into the CD-14 and | | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | CS-1. | November | |
| | | | | | | WB2.21.3.c Protocol developed for accessing early interventions | 2005 | |
| | | | | | | for students at risk for educational | 2003 | |
| | | | | | | neglect, truancy and suspension. | | |
| | | | | | | WB2.21.3.d Protocol developed | November | |
| | | | | | | for children expelled due to the | 2005 | |
| | | | | | | Safe School Act. | | |
| | | | | | | WB2.21.3.e Protocol drafted and | - | |
| | | | | | | distributed. | March 2006 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Well-Being 3 | | | | | | | | |
| (WB3): | | | | | | | | |
| Children receive | | | | | | | | |
| adequate services to meet | | | | | | | | |
| their physical | | | | | | | | |
| and mental | | | | | | | | |
| health needs. | | | | | | | | |
| Item 22: | | X | Measure to be | WB3.22.1 Increase | PDR | WB3.22.1.a Circuits identified | January 2005 | |
| Physical health | | | developed | ability of Children's | | available local dental resources. | | |
| of the child – | | | | Division staff and | Claims data | WB3.22.1.b Dental coordinator | 1 | |
| Dental | | | | families to access | | assigned to each region to | March 2005 | |
| | | | | available dental | Consumer | identify and facilitate access to | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|---|---|-----|------------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contri | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | resources. Bonnie Washeck | Satisfaction Survey | dental providers. | | |
| | | | | | | WB3.22.1.c In partnership with Division of Medical Services (DMS) assessed and developed plan to reduce administrative burden on Medicaid providers. | June 2005 | |
| | | | | | | WB3.22.1.d Notified dental providers of regional dental coordinators. WB3.22.1.e Dental Van program | March 2005 | |
| | | | | | | expanded. | June 2005 | |
| | | | | WB3.22.3 Increase capacity of staff to assess medical needs of children in intact families. Bonnie Washeck | PDR | WB3.22.3.a Revised CD-14 to include assessment of medical needs of children in intact families. WB3.22.3.b Issued revised form and policy. WB3.22.3.c Revised CD-14 into ongoing staff training. WB3.22.3.d Utilized PET to support practice protocols relating to medical needs assessment with | June 2005 March 2006 June 2006 December 2006 | |
| Item 23: Mental health needs of the child | | X | Measure to be developed | WB3.23.1 Increase the ability of Children's Division staff and | PDR | intact families. WB3.23.1.a. Available mental health resources identified through Circuit Self Assessment. | January 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--------------------|--------|------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | |
| and Item(s) Contri | buting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | families to access | | WB3.23.1.b. Regional Mental | March 2005 | |
| | | | | available mental health | | Health Coordinator designated | | |
| | | | | resources. | | and information distributed | | |
| | | | | 17 '4 17 | | WB3.23.1.c. See S2.3.6. | December | |
| | | | | Keith Krueger | | WB3.23.1.d In partnership with | 2006 | |
| | | | | | | Division of Medical Services | June 2005 | |
| | | | | | | (DMS) assessed and developed | June 2003 | |
| | | | | | | plan to reduce administrative burden on Medicaid providers | | |
| | | | | WB.3.23.2 Increase | PDR | WB3.23.2.a Incorporated | January 2006 | |
| | | | | awareness of staff and | IDK | attachment issues training into | January 2000 | |
| | | | | foster parents regarding | | on-going training. | | |
| | | | | attachment and mental | | WB3.23.2.b See P1.6.4.b | | |
| | | | | health issues. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Cindy Wilkinson | | | | |
| | | | | WB3.23.3 Increase | PDR | WB3.23.3.a Revised CD-14 to | January 2005 | |
| | | | | capacity of staff to | | include assessment of mental | | |
| | | | | assess mental health | | health needs of children in intact | | |
| | | | | needs of children in | | families. | | |
| | | | | intact families. | | WB3.23.3.b. See S2.3.1 | | |
| | | | | Kathryn Sapp | | | | |
| | | | | ixaan yn Sapp | | WB3.23.3.c Deployed PET to | December | |
| | | | | | | support practice protocols relating | 2006 | |
| | | | | | | to mental health needs assessment | | |
| Systemic Factors | | | | | | with intact families. | | |
| | 17 | | | | | | | |
| Item 24: System | X | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Program Improvem | ent Implementatio | n | | |
|--|---|-----|---|---|---------------------|--|-------------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contri | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| can identify the status, demographic characteristics, location and goals of children in foster care Item 25: Provides a process that ensures that each child has a written case plan to be developed jointly with the child's parent(s) that includes the required provisions | | X | PRR IV-15 Baseline 77.8% (8 quarters) Goal 77.8% | 25.1 Review/Revise current family assessment and child case plan tools to link service needs/provision to those identified in the initial and ongoing assessments | | 25.1.a See S2.3.1.a 25.1.b See S2.3.1.b 25.1.c See S2.3.1.c 25.1.d See S2.3.1.d 25.1.e See S2.3.1.e 25.1.f See S2.3.1.f | | |
| provisions | | | | 25.2 Strengthen worker/supervisor skills in engaging families in the assessment, case planning and case plan review process to increase parent, caregiver (alternative care provider) and child involvement in case | | 25.2.a See S2.3.2.a 25.2.b See S2.3.2.b 25.2.c See S2.3.2.c 25.2.d See S2.3.2.d 25.2.e See S2.3.2.e 25.2.e See S2.3.2.f | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|---|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contrib | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achieveme | |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | assessment, plan development and reassessment | | | | |
| | | | | 25.3 Improve supervisory capacity to monitor practice linking the assessment with the overall plan | | 25.3.a See S2.3.3.a 25.3.b See S2.3.3.b 25.3.c See S2.3.3.c | | |
| | | | | 25.4 Maximize parental/family involvement in Family support Team Meetings | | 25.4.a See P1.6.3.a 25.4.b See P1.6.3.b 25.4.c See P1.6.3.c 25.4.d See S2.3.2 a 25.4.e See S2.3.2 b 25.4.f See S2.3.2 c 25.4.g See S2.3.2 d 25.4.h See S2.3.2 e 25.4.i See S2.3.2 f | | |
| | | | | 25.5 Improve facilitation skills for Family Support Team Meetings Jeff Adams | Quarterly Outcome Report | 25.5.a Used existing advanced facilitation training and added an OJT component 25.5.b Plan developed to train the trainers and model skills 25.5.c Developed a plan for statewide rollout 25.5.d Implemented statewide rollout | September 2005 November 2005 January 2006 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|---|-----|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contri Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem Benchmark | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| Item 26: Process for 6- month case reviews | X | | CD-Outcomes #12 Baseline 62.2% (11 quarters) Goal 68.4% PRR V-15 Baseline 81.8% (8 quarters) Goal 85.0% | 26.1 Revised current policy to clarify a Permanency Review and requirements Cindy Wilkinson | Quarterly Outcome report PRR | 26.1.c. Developed draft policy clarifying permanency review requirements and procedures 26.1.d. Draft policy sent to policy review team and management 26.1.e Feedback received and revisions made 26.1.f New policy distributed to CD staff | June 2005 August 2005 September 2005 November 2005 | |
| | | | | 26.2 Increase ability to track 6 month Permanency Reviews Cindy Wilkinson | PRR | 26.2.a. Met with MIS/SACWIS and developed system logic needed to add a field to SS-61 for the 6 month Permanency Review date 26.2.b. Revised the CS-1 to denote Permanency Review 26.2.c Revised form and instructions distributed to all CD staff | June 2005 June 2005 November 2004 | |
| Item 27: Provides a process that ensures that each child in foster care under the | | X | Measure to be developed | 27.1 Enhance current information system relating to tracking timely court hearing. Cindy Wilkinson | Peer Record Review Supervisory case review | 27.1.a Reliability of data in current information system evaluated; corrective action taken. | March 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|---|----|------|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contri Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o | ent |
| Non-Comorning | ١. | 37/4 | or improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| supervision of the State has a permanency hearing in a qualified court or administrative body no later than 12 months from the date the child entered foster care and no less frequently than every 12 months thereafter. | A | N/A | | | JCIP Evaluation | 27.1.b. Management reports shared with Juvenile Court. | September 2005 | |
| | | | | 27.2 Improve access to legal representation for CD. | | 27.2.a See P1.9.4.a 27.2.b See P1.9.4.b 27.2.c See P1.9.4.c 27.2.d See P1.9.4.d 27.2.e See P1.9.4.e | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|------------------------|--------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | |
| and Item(s) Contri | buting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 27.2.f See P1.9.4.f | | |
| | | | | | | 27.2.g See P1.9.4.g | | |
| | | | | | | 27.2.h See P1.9.4.h | | |
| | | | | | | 27.2.i See P1.9.4.i | | |
| | | | | 27.3 Implement | Survey to court | 27.3.a Current curriculum | February | |
| | | | | training to develop | and CD staff | evaluated by OSCA/CD/MO Bar | 2005 | |
| | | | | testifying skills for CD | | 27.3.b Obtained technical | 4 31 2005 | |
| | | | | staff. | | assistance from MO Bar Assoc. and OSCA. | April 2005 | |
| | | | | Jeff Adams | | 27.3.c Curriculum drafted | August 2005 | |
| | | | | Jen Adams | | 27.3d. Curriculum approved. | October 2005 | |
| | | | | | | 27.3d. Currentum approved. 27.3.e Incorporated into Basic | January 2006 | |
| | | | | | | and OJT | 2000 | |
| | | | | 27.4 Provide cross | | 27.4.a See P1.7.3.a | | |
| | | | | training to new | | 27.4.b See P1.7.3.b | 1 | |
| | | | | judiciary, court staff, | | 27.4.c See P1.7.3.c | | |
| | | | | GAL's and Children's | | 27.4.d. See P1.7.3.d | | |
| | | | | Division staff on ASFA | | 27.4.e See P1.7.3.e | | |
| | | | | & Permanency hearings | | | | |
| | | | | consistent with state | | | | |
| Tr 20. | | V | Management | and federal regulations. | | 20.1 - C - D1.0.4 - | | |
| Item 28: Provides a | | X | Measure to be | 28.1 Improve access to | | 28.1.a See P1.9.4.a 28.1.b See P1.9.4.b | <u> </u> | |
| process for | | | developed | legal representation for CD. | | | 4 | |
| termination of | | | | CD. | | 28.1.c See P1.9.4.c | | |
| parental rights | | | | | | 28.1.d See P1.9.4.d | | |
| proceedings in | | | | | | 28.1.e See P1.9.4.e | | |
| accordance with | | | | | | 28.1.f See P1.9.4.f |] | |

| | | | | Program Improvem | ent Implementation | on | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste and Item(s) Contr | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem | _ |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| provisions of | | | | | | 28.1.g See P1.9.4.g | | |
| ASFA. | | | | | | 28.1.h See P1.9.4.h | 1 | |
| | | | | | | 28.1.i See P1.9.4.i | | |
| | | | | 28.2 Strengthen | | 28.2.a See S2.3.2.a | | |
| | | | | worker/supervisor skills | | 28.2.b See S2.3.2.b | | |
| | | | | in engaging families in | | 28.2.c See S2.3.2.c | _ | |
| | | | | the assessment, case | | 28.2.d See S2.3.2.d | _ | |
| | | | | planning and case plan | | 28.2.e See S2.3.2.e | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | review process to | | 28.2.f See S2.3.2.f | | |
| | | | | increase parent, caregiver (alternative | | | | |
| | | | | care provider) and child | | | | |
| | | | | involvement in case | | | | |
| | | | | assessment, plan | | | | |
| | | | | development and | | | | |
| | | | | reassessment | | | | |
| | | | | 28.3 Termination of | | 28.3.a See P1.9.1a | | |
| | | | | Parental Rights will be | | 28.3.b See P1.9.1.b | 1 | |
| | | | | filed in a timely | | 28.3.c See P1.9.1.c |] | |
| | | | | manner, except when | | 28.3.d See P1.9.1.d |] | |
| | | | | compelling reasons are documented. | | 28.3.d See P1.9.1.e | | |
| | | | | 28.4 Improve diligent | | 28.4.a See P1.6.3.a | | |
| | | | | search for | | 28.4.b See P1.6.3.b | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|---|-----|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contribution Non-Conformity | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | relatives/parents | | 28.4.c See P1.6.3.c | | |
| | | | | 28.5 Collaborate with | Alignment of RS | 28.5.a Proposal drafted. | August 2005 | |
| | | | | OSCA to amend 211.447 RSMo to ensure consistency w/ASFA requirements regarding filing of TPR petitions w/in 60 days of a judicial determination that the child is an abandoned infant, or that no Reasonable Efforts are required. Fred Simmens | Mo 210 and RS Mo 211 regarding ASFA requirements. | 28.5.b Written proposal reviewed by OSCA and the Department 28.5.c Meetings held between OSCA and the Department of Social Services to finalize proposal. 28.5.d Advocated for proposed legislation. | October 2005 November 2005 June 2006 | |
| Item 29: Provides a process for foster parents, preadoptive parents, and relative caregivers of children in foster | | X | Measure to be developed | 29.1 Develop a Memorandum of understanding between OSCA and the CD regarding roles and responsibilities for consistent notification. Jim Harrison | | 29.1.a Meeting held to discuss a Memorandum of Understanding and draft an agreement 29.1.b Proposal sent to DSS and OSCA for approval 29.1.c Meetings held between the Department and Children's Division for further discussion relating to legislative change to | January 2005 January 2005 February 2005 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | ent Implementation | on | | |
|---|---|------|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|---|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System and Item(s) Contri | | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Method of Measuring | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem | |
| Non-Conformity | | , | of Improvement | 1 | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| care to be notified of, and have an opportunity to be heard in, any review or hearing held with respect to the child. | A | IV/A | | | | Chapter 211. 29.1.d Obtained a sponsor for proposed legislation, if deemed necessary 29.1.e Collaborated with sponsor throughout drafting process 29.1.f Sponsor filed proposed legislation | February 2005 February 2005 March 2005 | |
| Item 33: Ongoing training for staff | | X | Measure to be developed | 33.1 Develop new supervisory training for front line supervisors. Jeff Adams | | 33.1.a Began discussion with Dept HRC unit regarding collaboration for on-going supervisors training 33.1.b Completed development of new supervisor training curriculum/structure including | Feb 2005 July 2005 | _ |
| | | | | | | initial and on-going training 33.1.c Training curriculum reviewed and approved by CD management 33.1.d Began field test and review of curriculum in selected pilot sites 33.1.e Reviewed field test results and curriculum & revisions made 33.1.f Began statewide | August 2005 September 2005 January 2006 March 2006 | - |

| | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--|----------|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemic and Item(s) Contribut Non-Conformity | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates of Achievem Benchmark | |
| A | N/A | | | | | | |
| 73 | 1 1 1/21 | | | | implementation | | |
| | | | 33.2 Develop in-service training structure for front line staff. | Progress monitored through quarterly | 33.2.a Implemented redesigned BASIC orientation pre-service training | January 2005 | |
| | | | Jeff Adams | PIP reports | 33.2.b Implemented investigation and assessment core module | July 2005 | |
| | | | | | 33.2.c Implemented family- centered services core module 33.2.d Implemented family- | September 2006 December | - |
| | | | | | centered out-of home core module | 2006 | |
| | | | 33.3 Enhanced On-The- | Progress | 33.3.a Formed OJT workgroup | Mar 2004 | |
| | | | Job (OJT) Training Jeff Adams | monitored through quarterly PIP reports | 33.3.b Assisted in the development of new evaluations tools for OJT activities | Jan 2005 | |
| | | | | | 33.3.c OJT workgroup served as clinical mentors/trainers for OJT | June 2005 | |
| | | | 33.4 Create training advisory Committee to | Progress monitored | 33.4.a Created state training advisory committee | June 2005 | |
| | | | annually assess needs and evaluate training | through quarterly PIP reports | 33.4.b Advisory committee developed a mission statement | July 2005 | |
| | | | Jeff Adams | 1 | 33.4.c Advisory Committee developed a written plan for assessing training needs | Sept 2005 | |
| | | | | | 33.4.d Advisory Committee developed a written plan for evaluating training needs | Oct 2005 | |

| | | | Program Improve | ment Implementation | on | | |
|--|------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemic F and Item(s) Contribution Non-Conformity | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem Benchmark | |
| A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | 1771 | | | | 33.4.e Plan submitted to CD administrators for approval 33.4.f Memo written detailing advisory committee plan of action to all CD staff | Dec 2005 Jan 2006 | |
| Item 35: The State has in place an array of services that assess the strengths and needs of children and families and determine other service needs, address the needs of families in addition to individual children in order to create a safe home environment, enable children to remain safely with their parents when reasonable, and | X | PRR III-10 Baseline 79.6% (8 quarters) Goal 79.6% PRR III-3 Baseline 89.3% (8 quarters) Goal 89.3% PRR III-4 Baseline 79.3% (8 quarters) Goal 83.2% PRR III-5 Baseline 89.8% (8 quarters) Goal 89.8% PRR V-1 Baseline 79.9% (8 quarters) Goal 79.9% | 35.1 Increase access and availability to dental services | | 35.1.a See WB3.22.1.a 35.1.b See WB3.22.1.b 35.1.c SeeWB3.22.1.c 35.1.d SeeWB3.22.1.d 35.1.e SeeWB3.22.1.e | | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|--|--------|------|---|---|--------------------|--|-----------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates o | |
| and Item(s) Contri | buting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Α | N/A | | | | | | |
| help children in foster and adoptive placements achieve permanency. | | | PRR IV-15 Baseline 77.8% (8 quarters) Goal 77.8% PRR IV-2 Baseline 78.9% (8 quarters) Goal 78.9% | | | | | |
| | | | | 35.2 Increase the ability of staff and families to access Alcohol and Drug Abuse Services (ADA) Jeff Adams | PDR | 35.2.a Provided joint training with ADA to inform CD staff about availability of and accessing ADA services. | June 2006 | |
| | | | | 35.3 Increase availability of specialized foster homes for older youth, | | 35.3.a See P1.6.5.a 35.3.a See P1.6.5.b 35.3.a See P1.6.5.c 35.3.a See P1.6.5.d | | |

| | | | Program Improven | nent Implementation | on | | |
|--|-------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Systemi and Item(s) Contribu Non-Conformity | | Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement | Action Steps | Method of Measuring Improvement | Benchmarks Toward Achieving Goal | Dates o Achievem Benchmark | - |
| l A | A N/A | | | | | | |
| | 1,172 | | siblings, disabled and medically fragile children. | | 35.3.a See P1.6.5.e 35.3.a See P1.6.5.f | - | |
| | | | 35.4 Increase availability of and access to parenting classes and family/parent aide services. Dirk Elrod | PDR Claims data | 35.4.a Through circuit self-assessment, determined available parenting classes and family/parent aide services. 35.4.b Developed performance based CTS contracts for family/parent aide and parenting class service. | January 2005 December 2005 | |
| | | | 35.5 Increased quantity and quality of interpretation services for non-English speaking consumers. Kathryn Sapp | PDR | 35.5.a Through circuit self-assessment, determined available interpretive services for non-English clients. 35.5.b Developed recruitment plan for multi/bilingual staff. 35.5.c Made state forms available in other languages (Spanish to accommodate growing Hispanic population). | January 2005 December 2006 December 2006 | |
| | | | 35.6 Increase availability of transportation services. Dirk Elrod | PDR | 35.6.a. Available transportation services determined through circuit self-assessment. 35.6.b Recruited regionally service organizations to provide transportation services. | January 2005 March 2006 | |

| | | | | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | on | | |
|--------------------|---------|------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or System | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | |
| and Item(s) Contri | ibuting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 35.6.c Issued state-wide | January 2005 | |
| | | | | | | transportation CTS contracts. | | |
| Item 36: The | | X | PRR IV-15 | See Item 35, 1-6 | | | | |
| services in item | | | Baseline 77.8% | | | | | |
| 35 are accessible | | | (8 quarters) | | | | | |
| to families and | | | Goal 77.8% | | | | | |
| children in all | | | DDD 11 45 | | | | | |
| political | | | PRR V-25 | | | | | |
| jurisdictions | | | Baseline 84.3% | | | | | |
| covered in the | | | (8 quarters) | | | | | |
| State's CFSP. | | | Goal 84.3% | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Item 37: The | | X | PRR III-6 | 37.1 Expand existing | | | | |
| services in item | | 21 | Baseline 81.1% | services and increased | | | | |
| 35 can be | | | (8 quarters) | funding for concrete | | | | |
| individualized to | | | Goal 81.1% | services. | | | | |
| meet the unique | | | | | | | | |
| needs of | | | PRR IV-15 | See Item 35 1-6 | | | | |
| children and | | | Baseline 77.8% | | | | | |
| families served | | | (8 quarters) | | | | | |
| by the agency. | | | Goal 77.8% | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PRR V-25 | | | | | |
| | | | Baseline 84.3% | | | | | |
| | | | (8 quarters) | | | | | |
| | | | Goal 84.3% | | | | | |
| | | | | 27.2.9. | | 27.2 9 92.2 2 | | |
| | | | | 37.2 Strengthen | | 37.2.a See S2.3.2.a | | |

| | | | | Program Improvem | ent Implementatio | on | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------|
| 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Outcome or Syste | | | Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | |
| and Item(s) Contri | ibuting | g to | Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| Non-Conformity | | | of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A | N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | worker/supervisor skills | | 37.2.b See S2.3.2.b | _ | |
| | | | | in engaging families in | | 37.2.c See S2.3.2.c | | |
| | | | | the assessment, case | | 37.2.d See S2.3.2.d | 1 | |
| | | | | planning and case plan | | 37.2.e See S2.3.2.e | | |
| | | | | review process to | | 37.2.f See S2.3.2.f | | |
| | | | | increase parent, | | | | |
| | | | | caregiver (alternative care provider) and child | | | | |
| | | | | involvement in case | | | | |
| | | | | assessment, plan | | | | |
| | | | | development and | | | | |
| | | | | reassessment. | | | | |
| Item 44: | | X | Foster | 44.1 Increase the | | 44.1.a See P1.6.5.a | | |
| Diligent | | | CD-Outcomes #1 | number of resource | | 44.1.b See P1.6.5.b | 1 | |
| recruitment of | | | Baseline 202 | families | | 44.1.c See P1.6.5.c | 1 | |
| foster and | | | (11 quarters) | | | 44.1.c See P1.6.5.d |] | |
| adoptive | | | Goal 212/qtr | | | 44.1.c See P1.6.5.e | | |
| families that | | | A 1 . | | | 44.1.c See P1.6.5.f |] | |
| reflect children's racial and ethnic | | | Adopt CD-Outcomes #1 | | | | | |
| diversity. | | | Baseline 213 | | | | | |
| diversity. | | | (11 quarters) | | | | | |
| | | | Goal 224 /qtr | | | | | |
| | | | Gour 2247qu | | | | | |
| | | | Relative | | | | | |
| | | | CD-Outcomes #1 | | | | | |
| | | | Baseline 187 | | | | | |
| | | | (11 quarters) | | | | | |
| | | | Goal 196/qtr | | | | | |

| | Program Improven | nent Implementatio | n | | |
|-----------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Goal/Negotiated | | Method of | Benchmarks Toward Achieving | Dates of | f |
| Measure/Percent | Action Steps | Measuring | Goal | Achievem | ent |
| of Improvement | | Improvement | | Benchmark | Goal |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Measure/Percent | 2 3 Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement Action Steps | 2 3 4 Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement Action Steps Measuring Improvement | Measure/Percent of Improvement Action Steps Measuring Goal Improvement | 2 3 4 5 6 Goal/Negotiated Measure/Percent of Improvement Action Steps Improvement Action Steps Improvement Action Steps Achievem Benchmark |